

**To:** Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;"Belin, Letty" [Letty\_Belin@ios.doi.gov]; Belin, Letty" [Letty\_Belin@ios.doi.gov]  
**Cc:** "Erika M. Feller" (b) (6) Connor, Michael L" [MLConnor@usbr.gov]; Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil" [Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil]; rock.salt@us.army.mil" [rock.salt@us.army.mil]; Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**From:** "Nawi, David"  
**Sent:** Wed 6/15/2011 11:55:34 PM  
**Subject:** RE: purpose and need

I think that the issue paper was prepared by Mary Grim in response to a request from Letty.

Also, I had a chance to speak with Paul and Mike Nepstadt about the issue today. Paul thinks that it is appropriate to keep it out here with Corps regulatory on an expedited track. He and I will both attend the meeting that has been scheduled for next week that will address both the permitting MOU and the P+N, leading to a discussion of alternatives.

Paul – Please feel free to correct or add.

From: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 2:19 PM  
To: Belin, Letty  
Cc: Nawi, David; Erika M. Feller; Connor, Michael L; Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil; rock.salt@us.army.mil; Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: purpose and need

I don't recall ever seeing that paper - might it have been an internal DOI paper?

For what its worth, I will separately send you the statement that the 5 federal agency staff and attorneys recommended.

~~~~~  
KAREN SCHWINN  
Associate Director  
Water Division  
U.S. EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
415/972-3472  
415/947-3537 (fax)  
~~~~~

-----"Belin, Letty" <Letty\_Belin@ios.doi.gov> wrote: -----

To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" <Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil>, Rock Salt <rock.salt@us.army.mil>  
From: "Belin, Letty" <Letty\_Belin@ios.doi.gov>  
Date: 06/15/2011 02:05PM  
Cc: "Nawi, David" <David\_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>, "Feller, Erika" <(b) (6)> "Connor, Michael L" <MLConnor@usbr.gov>  
Subject: purpose and need  
(See attached file: fed p & n issue paper.pdf)

Thank you all for the very helpful discussion today about BDCP purpose and need. In reviewing my files I found the attached undated 2-pager. Is this by any chance what was referred to in our call as the "March-April federal agreement?" Or is there some other document reflecting that agreement? The attached document appears to me to be totally consistent with the October 2010 letter that the three lead Federal agencies sent to EPA re: BDCP purpose and need. Anything you can provide me to clarify what the agreement was and/or what the Corps' concerns are would be most helpful. Thanks a lot,

Letty

Letty Belin

Counselor to the Deputy Secretary

U.S. Department of the Interior

202-208-6291